UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,214	08/22/2006	Pierre Girard	1032326-000405	6719
	7590 05/28/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	TABOR, AMARE F		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2139		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		10/590,214	GIRARD, PIERRE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		AMARE TABOR	2139				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	March 2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 March 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	·—		esecution as to the merits is				
٥/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
.	·	2x parte quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10					
· ·	on of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)⊡ objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2139

DETAILED ACTION

1. This correspondence is in response to **Amendments** and **REMARKS** filed on March 26, 2008.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6-8 and 17-19 are amended.

3. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/26/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. Examiner **strongly** disagrees with Applicant's argument regarding Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 112, First Paragraph sent in the prior Office Action.

<u>First</u>, Applicant argued: "...Applicant appreciates the Examiner's quotation of 37 C.F.R. 1.77(b) included in M.P.E.P. 608.01, which lists the <u>preferred</u> arrangement of the specification. However, this arrangement of the specification is <u>only suggested – not required</u>..."

However, as indicated in the prior Office Action, 37 C.F.R. 1.77(b) states: "*The specification* should include the following in order: (1) Title of the invention, which..."

Second, Applicant stated: "...Applicant advises, 'The description need <u>only</u> describe in detail that which is <u>new or not conventional'</u>..."

Examiner understands this statement as a self contradictory argument, because the disclosure of the invention <u>does not</u> detail the <u>new or not conventional</u> claims presented for examination.

For example, the independent Claim 1 of the invention reads,

"A method of producing a digital certificate in which a certification authority performs the steps of: grouping together, in a data set, a public key and digital data comprising data identifying the proprietor of said public key and of an associated private key;

signing the data set in order to produce a digital certificate; and

storing the signed data set in a computer-readable storage medium,

wherein the digital data also comprise data identifying at least one of: means of generating the private key, means of storing the private key on a medium, and means of signing with the private key"

However, the disclosure of the invention, <u>does not</u> detail [either in writing, or in drawing] how the claimed "digital certificate method" could be implemented. The disclosure <u>does not</u> detail what "a certification authority" is? The disclosure <u>does not</u> detail how "a certification authority" could perform "the steps of producing digital certificate."

Additionally, the disclosure <u>does not</u> detail how "a certification authority" could perform the series of steps; and <u>does not</u> give answer to the following questions –

- what is a "digital data"? How the step of "grouping together" is performed? [1st limitation]
- what is a "data set"? How is it [the data set] signed "to produce a digital certificate"? What does "sign" or "produce a digital certificate" mean? [2nd limitation]
- what is a "computer-readable medium" where the "signed data set" is stored? [3rd limitation]
- what does the "means" indicate in the claim language, "means for..."? [last limitation]

<u>Third</u>, Applicant argued: "...Applicant respectfully submits one of ordinary skill in the art would have sufficient knowledge to make and use the claimed invention based on the 'Detailed Description' section between page 6, line 14 and page 11, line 25 of Applicant's specification."

As indicated in the prior Office Action, the section Applicant identified as '**Detailed Description**' [page 6, line 14 to page 11, line 25] is merely copy of the original claims. Except the 1st paragraph [*used as an introduction*] and the last paragraphs [*15-17, which describe two examples related to claims 10-12*], major portion of the '**Detail Description**' [*paragraphs 2 to 14*] is copy of the original Claims 1-12.

For the convenience of Applicant's Representative [and for the record], Examiner has summarized the **ENTIRE SPECIFICATION** of the invention [from the first page, p.1 to the last page, p.16 of original disclosure] in the following table.

Please note that the invention is disclosed as:

- (i) Background of the Invention p.1, line 5 to p.6, line 13;
- (ii) Detail Description of the Invention-p.6, line 14 to p.11, line 25; and
- (ii) <u>Claims</u> pages 12-16.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,214

Art Unit: 2139

METHOD OF PRODUCING A DIGITAL CERTIFICATE, THE ASSOCIATED DIGITAL CERTIFICATE, AND A METHOD OF USING SUCH A DIGITAL CERTIFICATE

Background of the Invention [page 1, line 5 to page 6, line 13]

Detail Description of the Invention [page 6, line 15 to page 11, line 25]		<u>Claims</u> [pages 12-16]	
Paragraph		Claim(s)	
1	The aim of the invention is to resolve this problem by proposing a method		
2 & 3	For this the invention proposes a method of producing a digital certificate during which a certification authoritymeans of signing with the private key.	1	A method of producing a digital certificate during which a certification authoritymeans of signing with the private key.
4	The data identifying the means of generating the privategenerating the private key is implemented.	2	A method according to claim 1, in which the data identifying means of generating the private generating the private key is implemented.
5	The data identifying means of storing the private keyon which the private key is stored.	3	A method according to claim 1 or 2, in which the data identifying means of storing the private keyon which the private key is stored.
6	Finally, the data identifymethod is stored.	4	the data identifymethod is stored.
7	The data identifying hardware or a storagestandard ISO 15408 dated 1.12.99	5	data identifying hardware or a storagestandard ISO 15408.
8	The data identifyaccording to ISO 15408.	6	the data identifyaccording to ISO 15408.
9	The data identifyaccording to ISO 15408.	7	the data identifyaccording to ISO 15408.
10	The invention also concerns a digital certificate comprising: a public key, a data identifyingsaid private key.	8	A digital certificate comprising: a public key, a data identifyingsaid private key.
11	In preferred embodiment this certificate is of X509 type according tomethod is stored.	9	A certificate according to claim 8, of the X509 type according tomethod is stored.
12	The invention also concerns a method of using a digital certificatesaid private key.	10	A method of using digital certificateof said private key.
13	It is possible for example to choosegreater that predefined value.	11	message is accepted solely ifgreater that a predefined value.
14	It is also possible to choose to: accept the messageis less than the second value.	12	A methodthe message is accepted if is less than the second value (VB2).
15-17	To estimate the probability of the private keyis used [paragraph 15]. In one example, the information present that he has received [paragraph 16]. In another example, the information present in order to avoid any risk [paragraph 17].		The paragraphs describe two examples related to dependent claims 10-12
		13-20	New Claims added in the preliminary amendment filed on 08/22/2006.

Therefore, the reason Applicant 'declined' [see REMARKS, p.8] to further amend the specification is not because "37 C.F.R. 1.77(b) is preferred lay out" or "the Examiner's issue appears to be with sections headings" [see REMARKS, p.10], but the disclosure of the invention does not describe in detail [either in writing, or in example(s) and/or in figure(s)] the claims presented for examination. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have sufficient knowledge to make and use the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2139

6. Regarding Claim Objection, Applicant stated: "Applicant has amended the claims to provide antecedent basis for each of the claim terms. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the objection to the claims."

However, Examiner notes that Applicant <u>neither</u> amended <u>nor</u> explained the claim objection made on the prior Office Action. For example, Applicant <u>neither</u> amended <u>nor</u> explained the objected claim terms "said", "first value (VB1)" and "second value (VB2)".

Regarding prior art rejections, Applicant argued: "...Key pair generator 27, data storage device 28 and CPU 20 are <u>devices</u> – <u>not data</u>. Wildish says nothing with regard to including data identifying key pair generator 27, data storage device 28 and CPU 20 in the concatenated fields... Accordingly, Wildish does not disclose Applicant's claimed 'digital data'..."

Additionally, Applicant argued: "...Miyazaki does not disclose the 'digital data' missing from Wildish and the Examiner does assert that Miyazaki makes any such disclosure. Accordingly ..."

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As best understood from Applicant's argument, Examiner notes that Applicant agrees with Examiner's prior art rejection <u>except</u> arguing that Wildish <u>not</u> disclosing 'digital data'. However, as indicated in the prior Office Action, Wildish discloses 'digital data' or "data identifying" [see abstract, FIG.5; and for example, par.0008, 0032 and 0033].

Furthermore, claim 1 of the invention recites, "A method of producing digital certificate in which a certification authority performs the steps of grouping together, in a data set, a public key and digital data comprising data identifying the proprietor of the said public key and an associated private key…"

Wildish discloses, [par. 0008, "According to the present invention there is provided a method of establishing a secure communication in a digital communications network ...generating a first private/public key pair in a root certificate server; issuing a digital certificate for a **public key** portion of said first private/public key pair signed by said root certificate server and **identified by a digital identifier** associated with said root certificate server; generating additional private/public key pairs ... associating

Art Unit: 2139

public key portions of said additional private/public key pairs with pseudonymic **digital identifiers** associated with said respective subordinate entities; and issuing additional digital certificates binding said pseudonymic **digital identifiers** of said subordinate entities to the public key portion of their respective private/public key pairs from certificate servers that are in parental relationship to said subordinate entities, said additional digital certificates having a **digital certificate identifier** containing the pseudonymic **digital identifier** of the certified subordinate entity"]

Thus, as presented in claim 1, the "digital data" of the invention comprises "data identifying the proprietor of the public key" and "associated private key". Therefore, Wildish discloses "a digital identifier" that is "associated with root certificate" [proprietor] and public key portion of the private/public pair.

8. Since Examiner finds Applicant's argument filed on March 26, 2008 <u>not</u> persuasive, the rejection made on the prior Office Action is repeated and the rejection is made <u>Final</u>.

Specification

9. The disclosure is objected to because:

a. <u>Arrangement of the Specification</u>

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.

Art Unit: 2139

(2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

b. <u>Claim & Specification</u>

Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: the specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). For example, the claims use the language "said hardware, said storage and said method" repeatedly. Additionally, claim 12 recites "first value (VB1)" and "second value (VB2)", which lacks proper antecedent basis.

For examining purposes the phrase "and/or" in claims 1-20, is considered as "and" or "or" or "and/or" as applicable.

Appropriate correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description and enablement requirements. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,214

Art Unit: 2139

In a preliminary amendment to the claims and the specification filed on 08/22/2006, Applicant requested to insert the headings **Background of the Invention** (in page 1, line 4) and **Description of the Invention** (in page 6, between lines 13 and 14). The part of the disclosure that Applicant referred as Description of the Invention (starting from page 6, line 14 to page 11, line 25 of the disclosure) is a direct copy of the original claims 1 through 12 (see pages 12 to 16). This section does not describe the invention in detail description; however, it merely presents the claims as a summary of the invention.

Page 8

Thus, the section can not be referred as Description of the Invention, but it could be referred as **Brief Summary of the Invention** (see item number (g) in the 2nd page of this office action). Therefore, if the heading **Description of the Invention** is inserted in page 10, between lines 25 and 26, only the two remaining paragraphs of the disclosure can be referred as Description of the Invention. The last two paragraphs (page 10, line 26 to page 11, line 25) describe two examples which are related to claims presented in claims 10-12. Since the section that Applicant referred as Description of the Invention is an exact duplicate of the independent and dependent original claims listed from page 12 to 16, Examiner considers the disclosure of the invention as having only two parts: BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION and CLAIMS (see items (f) and (j) above). In conclusion, the disclosure of the invention does not have sections that would support the claims in detailed explanation; i.e., it does not contain the two important parts of a specification (DETAILED DESCRIPTION and DRAWINGS, see item numbers (h & i) in the 2nd page of this office action), that would enable a person of skill in the art to understand the subject matter of Applicant's invention. Therefore, claimed invention presented in claims 1-20 clearly lacks the written description and enablement requirements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2139

<u>Claims 1-9 and 13-20</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wildish et al. (US 2003/0115457 A1, referred as "<u>Wildish</u>" hereinafter).

As per Claim 1, Wildish teaches,

A method of producing a digital certificate in which a certification authority performs the steps of grouping together, in a data set (see *abstract and Fig. 5*), a public key and digital data comprising data identifying the proprietor of the said public key and of an associated private key (see *ID1*, *ID2*, *ID3*, *Public key* in *Fig. 5*; and for example, *paragraph [0033]*), signing the data set in order to produce a digital certificate (see for example, *paragraphs [0004] & [0008]*), and storing the signed data set in a computer-readable storage medium (see *DATA 28* in *Fig. 5*), wherein the digital data also comprise data identifying at least one of means of generating the private key (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR 27* in *Fig. 1*; and for example *paragraph [0008]*), means of storing the private key on a medium, and means of signing with the private key (see *Fig. 4* and *DATA 28* and *CPU 20* in *Fig. 5*; where keys are stored and signed; and for example, *paragraph [0030]*).

As per Claim 8, Wildish teaches,

A digital certificate stored in a computer-readable medium (see *abstract and Fig. 5*), comprising: a public key (see *Public Key*), data identifying a proprietor of the public key and of an associated private key (see *ID1-ID3*), and data identifying at least one of means of generating the private key (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR 27* in *Fig. 1*; and for example *paragraph [0008]*), means of storing the private key on a medium, and means of signature with said private key (see *DATA 28* and *CPU 20* in *Fig. 5; where keys are stored and signed*).

As per Claim 2, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying the means of generating the private key comprise data identifying: a method of generating the private key (see *paragraph* [0008]) and/or hardware on which the method of generating the private key is implemented, and/or a place on which the method of generating the private key is implemented (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR* in *Fig.* 5).

As per Claim 3, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying the means of storing the private key comprise data identifying: a method of storing the private key on a medium (see *DATA 28* in *Fig. 5*), and/or hardware on which the method of storing the private key is implemented, and/or a place on which the method of storing the private key is implemented (see for example, *paragraphs [0011]-[0012] and [0033]*), and/or a storage medium on which the private key is stored (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR* and *DATA 28* in *Fig. 5*).

Art Unit: 2139

Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons applied to rejection of Claim 3.

As per Claim 4, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying the signature means comprise data identifying: a signature method using the private key (see *Fig. 2*), and/or a memory medium on which the said signature method is stored (see *DATA* in *Fig. 5*; for example, *paragraphs* [0011]-[0012] and [0033]).

Claims 14 and 15 are rejected for the same reasons applied to rejection of Claim 4.

As per Claim 5, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying hardware or a storage medium comprise: a reference identifying the said hardware or the said storage medium, and/or an identification of a manufacturer of the said hardware or of the said storage medium (see *Fig. 3 & 4;* and for example, *paragraphs [0029] to [0031]*), and/or an indication of a security level of the said hardware or of the said storage medium defined according to a standard ISO 15408 (see *paragraphs [0005] & [0011]; where security protocols are disclosed*).

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected for the same reasons applied to rejection of Claim 5.

As per Claim 6, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying a method comprise: a reference identifying the said method of generating the private key (see *abstract; Fig. 2;* and for example, *paragraphs* [0008], [0010], [0012], [0019]-[0020], [0026] & [0031]-[0032]), and/or an identification of an inventor of the said method of generating the private key(see *RANDOM NUMBER GENERATO 26* in *Fig. 5*), and/or an indication of a security level of the said method of generating the private key according to ISO 15408 (see *paragraphs* [0005] & [0011]; where security protocols are disclosed).

Claims 18-20 are rejected for the same reasons applied to rejection of Claim 6.

As per Claim 7, Wildish teaches,

in which the data identifying a place comprise: an identification of the said place, and/or an identification of a security level of the said place according to ISO 15408 (see *paragraphs* [0005] & [0011]; where security protocols are disclosed).

As per Claim 9, Wildish teaches,

of the X509 type according to a standard Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public Key and Attribute Certificate Frameworks, dated March 2000, of the International Telecommunication Union, in which a set of predefined free fields are used to store the

Art Unit: 2139

digital data identifying (see *Fig. 1;* and for example, *paragraphs* [0005] and [0019]): a method of generating the private key, and/or hardware on which the method of generating the private key is implemented, and/or a place on which the method of generating the private key is implemented (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR 27* in *Fig. 5*), and/or a method of storing the private key on a medium, and/or hardware on which the method of storing the private key is implemented (see *KEY PAIR GENERATOR* in *Fig. 5*),

and/or a place on which the method of storing the private key is implemented, and/or a storage medium on which the private key is stored (see *DATA 28* in *Fig. 5*), and/or a signature method using the private key (see for example, *paragraphs [0011]-[0012] and [0033]*), and/or a storage medium on which the said signature method is stored (see *DATA* in *Fig. 5;* for example, *paragraphs [0008],[0012] and [0030]*).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

<u>Claims 10-12</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Wildish</u> in view of "Miyazaki" et al. (US 2004/0123107 A1, referred as "*Miyazaki*" hereinafter).

As per Claims 10-12, Wildish teaches,

receiving a message signed with a private key (see *the packet switched network* in *Fig. 3*), reading, in the digital certificate, data identifying means of generating the private key (see *Fig. 2*) and/or means of storing the private key on a medium and/or means of signing with the private key (see *Fig. 5*).

Wildish fails to teach deducing therefrom a probability of the said private key having been used by a legitimate proprietor of the said private key, according to the said probability, accepting or refusing the electronic message; in which the message is accepted solely if the probability of the said key having been used by its legitimate proprietor is greater than a predefined value; and in which: the message is accepted if the probability is greater than a first value (VB1), a confirmation of the said message is

requested if the probability is between the first value (VB1) and a second value (VB2) less than the first value, and the message is refused if the probability is less than the second value (VB2).

However, in the same field of endeavor Miyazaki teaches message is accepted solely if the probability of the said key having been used by its legitimate proprietor is greater than a predefined value (see *paragraphs* [0121]-[0122], [0126] and [0135]-[0136]).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to combine the teachings of Miyazaki and the system of Wildish because both inventions are directed to method of securing digital networks using digital signature. One having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to incorporate the teachings of Miyazaki in order to decide whether to accept or reject a message and verify the reliability of the signature history (see *abstract* and *paragraph* [0008] of Miyazaki).

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMARE TABOR whose telephone number is (571)270-3155. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00a.m. to 5:00p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2139

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

Amare Tabor (AU 2139)

1000.

/Kristine Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2139